Andrew H. Wilson, SBN #063209 WILSON, RYAN & CAMPILONGO 235 Montgomery Street Suite 450 3 San Francisco, California 94104 (415) 391-3900 4 Telefax: (414) 954-0938 5 Michael Lee Hertzberg 740 Broadway, 5th Floor 6 New York, New York 10003 (212) 982-9870 Laurie J. Bartilson, SBN #139220 RECEIVED BOWLES & MOXON 8 6255 Sunset Boulevard, Suite 2000 9 NOV 1 7 1994 Hollywood, CA 90028 (213) 463-4395 10 **HUB LAW OFFICES** Telefax: (213) 953-3351 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY 12 INTERNATIONAL 13 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 14 FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN 15 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY ) CONSOLIDATED CASE NO. INTERNATIONAL, a California not-) 157 680 for-profit religious corporation, SEPARATE STATEMENT OF 18 Plaintiff, ) UNDISPUTED FACTS IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR 19 SUMMARY ADJUDICATION OF THE VS. ) FOURTH, SIXTH AND ELEVENTH 20 GERALD ARMSTRONG; DOES 1 through ) CAUSES OF ACTION OF ) PLAINTIFF'S SECOND AMENDED 25, inclusive, 21 ) COMPLAINT AND EXHIBITS Defendants. THERETO 22 DATE: December 23, 1994 23 AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS AND TIME: 9:00 a.m. CONSOLIDATED ACTION CALENDAR: Law & Motion 24 DEPT: 1 25 TRIAL DATE: May 18, 1995 26 27

Church of Scientology International ("the Church") submits this statement of undisputed material facts in support of the Church's Motion for Summary Adjudication of the fourth, sixth and eleventh causes of action of plaintiff's second amended complaint.

I. The Church is entitled to summary adjudication of the Fourth Cause of Action because there is no dispute that the parties entered into a written agreement, that the Church performed all of its obligations pursuant to the agreement, that Armstrong breached the agreement by providing a declaration which purports to discuss his experiences with Scientology to anti-Church litigants Vicki and Richard Aznaran, and that the Church is entitled to liquidated damages of \$50,000.00 for this breach.

### UNDISPUTED FACT

1. Gerald Armstrong entered into a confidential Mutual Release of All Claims and Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") with Church of Scientology International ("the Church") on December 6, 1986.

### EVIDENTIARY SUPPORT

1. Request for Judicial
Notice Exhibit A,
Verified Amended
Complaint (hereinafter
"Complaint"), ¶¶ 1 and 2;
Request for Judicial
Notice Exhibit B, Answer
of Gerald Armstrong and
the Gerald Armstrong
Corporation to Amended
Complaint (hereinafter

1 "Answer"), ¶¶ 1 and 2; 2 Exhibit 1A, Deposition of 3 Gerald Armstrong, Vol 4 III, Oct. 7, 1992, 301:2-5 12; 302:1-18, and Exhibit 6 6 thereto Exhibit 1B; 7 Mutual Release of All 8 Claims and Settlement 9 Agreement, page 16. 10 11 2. The Agreement was signed on behalf Exhibit 1B, Mutual 2. Release of All Claims and of the Church by its President, 13 Reverend Heber Jentzsch. Settlement Agreement, 14 page 16. 15 3. The Agreement was signed by Exhibit 1B, Mutual 3. 17 Armstrong's attorney, Michael Flynn, Release of All Claims and 18 approving it as to form and content. Settlement Agreement, 19 page 16. 20 21 4. Armstrong signed and initialed the 4. Exhibit 1A, 22 Agreement in the presence of his Deposition of Gerald 23 counsel, Michael Flynn, and witnesses Armstrong, Vol I, 172:9-24 Michael Sutter and JoAnn Richardson. 12, Exhibit 1C 25 The signing was recorded by video Declaration of Larry 26 Heller, ¶ 3, and Exhibits camera.

A and B thereto.

27

1 5. Prior to signing the Agreement, 2 Armstrong discussed its terms with 3 his attorneys, Michael Flynn and 4 Michael Walton. He also discussed it with a third attorney, Julia 6 Dragojevich. 7 8 6. At the time he signed the 9 Agreement, in the presence of his 10 counsel and live witnesses, Armstrong had the following exchange with CSI attorney, Larry Heller: LARRY HELLER: O.K. Ah, Mr. Armstrong, I'm going to ask you to sign three documents, ah, a Mutual Release of All Claims and Settlement Agreement, and two separate affidavits. Prior to doing so, however, I would like to 16 ask you some questions with regard to those documents, um-hm, excuse me, 17 which I would like you to answer freely and honestly, if you would. 18 Ah, first of all, have you had a chance to, ah, completely and comprehensively review and read these 19 documents? 20 ARMSTRONG: Yeah. 21 HELLER: O.K. Have you had a chance 22 to discuss these documents with your attorney, Mr. Flynn? 23 ARMSTRONG: Yes. 24 HELLER: Has Mr. Flynn explained these 25 documents as well as the legal and factual ramifications to you, legal 26

5. Exhibit 1A, Deposition of Gerald Armstrong, Vol. I, June 24, 1992, 37:14-20; 38:11-23; 69:18-70:16.

6. Exhibit 1C, Declaration of Larry Heller,  $\P\P$  4 and 5, Exhibit A thereto, and Exhibit B thereto, 1:19-2:18.

and practical ramifications to you to your satisfaction?

ARMSTRONG: Uh, I think so, yes.

27

1 HELLER: O.k. Well, do you have any question of that whatsoever? 2 ARMSTRONG: No, I have no current 3 questions about it. HELLER: O.k. Very good. You are going to sign these of your own free 5 will? 6 ARMSTRONG: Yes. 7 HELLER: O.k. You are not suffering from any duress or coercion which is 8 compelling you to sign these documents? 9 ARMSTRONG: No. 10 HELLER: All right. You are not presently under the influence of 11 alcohol or any medication, 12 prescription or otherwise, which would impede your ability to 13 comprehend the legal and factual intent of these documents? 14 ARMSTRONG: No. 15 16 7. Request for Judicial 7. Armstrong received a portion of a 17 Notice Exhibit A, total sum paid to his attorney, 18 Michael Flynn, in settlement of all Complaint, ¶ 13; Request 19 claims of Mr. Flynn's clients. for Judicial Notice, 20 Exhibit B, Answer, ¶ 13; 21 Exhibit 1B Mutual Release 22 of All Claims and 23 Settlement Agreement, 24 ¶ 3. 25 26 8. Armstrong received approximately Exhibit 1D, 8. \$800,000.00 from Michael Flynn as his Declaration of Graham 28

portion of the total settlement sum paid by CSI to Mr. Flynn for Flynn's settling clients.

Berry, and Exhibit B
thereto; Exhibit 1E Marin
Independent Journal,
November 11, 1992,
article entitled, "Is
Money The Root of Our
Problems?"

9. Paragraph 7(D) of the Agreement provides that: "Plaintiff agrees never to create or publish or attempt to publish, and/or assist another to create for publication by means of magazine, article, book or other similar form, any writing or to

broadcast or to assist another to create, write, film or video tape or audio tape any show, program or movie, or to grant interviews or

o discuss with others, concerning their

21 experiences with the Church of

Scientology, or concerning their

personal or indirectly acquired

24 knowledge or information concerning

25 the Church of Scientology, L. Ron

Hubbard or any of the organizations,

individuals and entities listed in

Paragraph 1 above. Plaintiff further

9. Exhibit 1B Mutual
Release of All Claims and
Settlement Agreement, ¶
7(D).

agrees that he will maintain strict confidentiality and silence with respect to his experiences with the Church of Scientology and any knowledge or information he may have concerning the Church of Scientology, L. Ron Hubbard, or any of the organizations, individuals and entities listed in Paragraph 1 above. Plaintiff expressly understands that the non-disclosure provisions of this subparagraph shall apply, inter alia, but not be limited, to the contents or substance of his complaint on file in the action referred to in Paragraph 1 hereinabove or any documents as defined in Appendix "A" to this Agreement, including but not limited to any tapes, films, photographs, recastings, variations or copies of any such materials which concern or relate to the religion of Scientology, L. Ron Hubbard, or any of the organizations, individuals, or entities listed in Paragraph 1 above. The attorneys for Plaintiff, subject to the ethical limitations restraining them as promulgated by

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

1 the state or federal regulatory 2 associations or agencies, agree not 3 to disclose any of the terms and conditions of the settlement 5 negotiations, amount of the settlement, or statements made by 7 either party during the settlement 8 conferences. Plaintiff agrees that if the terms of this paragraph are 10 breached by him, that CSI and the 11 other Releasees would be entitled to 12 liquidated damages in the amount of 13 \$50,000 for each such breach. All 14 monies received to induce or in 15 payment for a breach of this Agreement, or any part thereof, shall 17 be held in a constructive trust pending the outcome of any litigation 19 over said breach. The amount of 20 liquidated damages herein is an 21 estimate of the damages that each party would suffer in the event this 23 Agreement is breached. 24 reasonableness of the amount of such damages are hereto acknowledged by 26 Plaintiff.

27

28

10. At the time the Agreement was

10. Exhibit 1B, Mutual

signed, it was impossible to calculate the likely cost to the Church and the other settling entities should Armstrong breach the provisions of paragraph 7(D). On May 16, 1994, the Second In its May 16, 1994 Opinion, the

Release of All Claims and Settlement Agreement, ¶ 7(D) Exhibit 1C, Declaration of Larry Heller, and Exhibits A and B thereto.

District Court of Appeal affirmed the trial court's order granting the Church a preliminary injunction.

11. Request for Judicial Notice Exhibit C, May 16, 1994 Court of Appeal Opinion, passim; Request for Judicial Notice Exhibit D, May 28, 1992 Order granting preliminary injunction, passim.

Request for Judicial

Notice, Exhibit C, May

Opinion, p. 5.

16, 1994 Court of Appeal

12.

Second District Court of Appeal found

that "Armstrong did not deny the 20

21 charged conduct but asserted the

22 settlement agreement was not

enforceable for various reasons,

primarily that it was against public 24

25 policy and that he signed it under

duress." 26

2

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

27

28

In its May 16, 1994 opinion, the 13. Request for Judicial 13.

1 Second District Court of Appeal 2 summarily rejected Armstrong's 3 proffered affirmative defenses, which Opinion, Exhibit 1F, pp. 4 included arguments, inter alia, that 5 the Agreement was contrary to public policy; signed under duress; violated 7 various constitutional provisions; 8 restrained trade; lacked mutuality 9 and obstructed justice; and that CSI 10 had actually and/or constructively 11 defrauded Armstrong and had unclean 12 hands.

Notice Exhibit C, May 16, 1994 Court of Appeal 9-10; Appellant's Opening Brief, pp. 24-49.

13

25

14 Armstrong argued to the Court 15 Appeal that some provisions of the 16 Agreement violated the First 17 Amendment. The Court of Appeal 18 rejected this argument, holding, 19 "Although Armstrong's 'Freedom of 20 Speech' is affected, it is clear that a party may voluntarily by contract 22 agree to limit his freedom of speech. 23 (See In re Steinberg (1983) 148

Request for Judicial Notice, Exhibit C, May 16, 1994 Court of Appeal Opinion, Exhibit 1F, pp. 9 - 10; Appellant's Opening Brief, pp. 20 -25.

24 Cal.App.3d 14, 18-20 [filmmaker

agreed to prior restraint on

26

distribution of film]; ITT Telecom

27 Products Corp. v. Dooley (1989) 214

28 Cal.App.3d 307, 319 [Employee's

Agreement not to disclose confidential information; 'it is possible to waive even First Amendment Free Speech rights by contract']; Snepp v. United States (1980) 444 U.S. 507, 509, fn 3 "[book by CSI employee subject to prepublication clearance by terms of his employment contract]."

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15. Vicki and Richard Aznaran are former Church members and, in 1991, were actively litigating against several Churches of Scientology. Vicki J. Aznaran, et al. v. Church of Scientology of California, et al., USDC Central District of California CV 88-1786 JMI(Ex) (hereinafter the "Aznaran case").

Request for Judicial Notice, Exhibit A, Complaint, ¶ 18; Request for Judicial Notice, Exhibit B, Answer, ¶ 18; Exhibit 1A, Deposition of Gerald Armstrong Vol. II, July 22, 1992, 183:1-3, Request for Judicial Notice Exhibit E, Complaint in the United States District Court for the Central Distinct of California, Case No. CV 88-1786 JMI(Ex), <u>Vicki J.</u> Aznaran, et al. v. Church

of Scientology of

California, et al.

15.

16. In July, 1991, while attorney for the Aznarans, former Church attorney Joe Yanny "hired Gerry Armstrong as a paralegal to help [Yanny] on the Aznaran case. . ."

16. Exhibit 1G,
Transcript of Proceeding

RTC et al. v. Yanny et
al., Case No. BC 033035,
August 6, 1991, 25:19-21,
Exhibit 1H, Declaration
of Joseph A. Yanny, July
31, 1991, 4:21-22.

17. In August, 1991, Armstrong began helping Ford Greene as a paralegal for the Aznarans. Greene tried to convince the Aznarans to pay him a monthly stipend for Armstrong, so that Armstrong could work on the Aznaran case.

17. Exhibit 1I,

Declaration of Vicki

Aznaran dated May 19,

1994, p. 5, ¶ 7 Exhibit

1J, Armstrong's Responses

to CSI's Requests for

Admission, July 21, 1994,

Request No. 4.

18. On August 26, 1991, Armstrong signed a declaration for filing in the <u>Aznaran</u> case containing statements regarding his alleged experiences with and knowledge of the Church and L. Ron Hubbard.

18. Exhibit 1A,

Deposition of Gerald

Armstrong Vol III,

322:19-323:7, 324:5-10,

324:21-23, 325:1-10,

325:17-326:3, 327:8-10,

and Exhibit 11 thereto,

Exhibit 1K, Armstrong

Declaration, August 26,

1991, Request for

Judicial Notice, Exhibit A, Complaint, ¶¶ 37 and 59; Request for Judicial Notice, Exhibit B, Answer, ¶¶ 37 and 59.

III. CSI is entitled to summary adjudication of the Sixth Cause of Action because there is no dispute that the parties entered into a written agreement, that CSI performed all of its obligations pursuant to the agreement, Armstrong

breached the Agreement by providing interviews to the media, and that CSI is entitled to liquidated damages of \$100,000

for these breaches.

CSI incorporates herein Undisputed Facts and Evidentiary Support Nos. 1 to 18, supra.

### UNDISPUTED FACT

magazine.

19. In 1992, Armstrong had twelve contacts with media representatives, two of which were interviews with reporters from the Cable News Network

("CNN") and The American Lawyer

20. On March 20, 1992, Armstrong and his counsel, Ford Greene, provided a videotaped interview to reporter Don Knapp of CNN.

# EVIDENTIARY SUPPORT

19. Exhibit 1A,
Deposition of Gerald
Armstrong Vol III,
341:24-342:16.

20. Request for Judicial Notice, Exhibit A,
Complaint, ¶ 44; Request for Judicial Notice
Exhibit B, Answer, ¶ 44;

Exhibit 1A, Deposition of 1 2 Gerald Armstrong, Vol III, 341:24-344:14; 3 345:10-16. 4 5 6 21. In the CNN interview, Armstrong Request for Judicial 21. 7 discussed his knowledge of the Church Notice, Exhibit A, 8 of Scientology and L. Ron Hubbard Complaint, ¶ 44; Request 9 Answer, ¶ 44; Exhibit 1L, which he had gained through his Transcript of CNN 10 experiences with the Church of Broadcast, Exhibit 1A, 11 Scientology. 12 Deposition of Gerald 13 Armstrong, Vol III, 343:19-344:4. 14 15 Exhibit 1A, 16 22. Armstrong and his counsel, Ford 22. Deposition of Gerald 17 Greene, were interviewed by reporter 18 William Horne of The American Lawyer Armstrong, Vol. III, 19 magazine. Armstrong made statements 341:24-342:14, 348:21-20 concerning his knowledge of and 360:19. 21 experiences with the Church of 22 Scientology during that interview. 23 111 24 111 25 111 26 111 27 111

28

III. CSI is entitled to summary adjudication on the Eleventh Cause of Action because there is no dispute that the parties entered into a written agreement, that CSI performed all of its obligations pursuant to the agreement, Armstrong breached the Agreement by providing a declaration which purports to discuss his experiences with the Church of Scientology to anti-Church litigant David Mayo and his counsel, and that CSI is entitled to liquidated damages of \$50,000 for this breach.

CSI incorporates herein Undisputed Facts and Evidentiary Support Nos. 1 to 18, supra.

12

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

## UNDISPUTED FACT

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27 28

23. On May 27, 1992, Armstrong met with attorneys Jerold Fagelbaum and

Gary Bright, attorneys for David Mayo

and the Church of the New

Civilization in the consolidated

cases of Religious Technology Center

et al. v. Robin Scott et al., United

States District Court for the Central

District of California, Case No.

CV 85-711 JMI(Bx), and Religious

Technology Center et al. v. Larry

Wollersheim et al., United States

District Court for the Central

District of California, Case No.

### EVIDENTIARY SUPPORT

Request for Judicial 23. Notice, Exhibit A, Complaint, ¶ 68; Request for Judicial Notice, Exhibit B, Answer, ¶ 68; Exhibit 1A, Deposition of Gerald Armstrong Vol II, 214:20-216:24.

1	CV 85-7197 JMI(Bx). At the time,	
2	Fagelbaum and Bright were litigating	
3	a cross-claim in that case against,	
4	inter alia, CSI.	
5		
6	24. At his meeting with Fagelbaum and	24. Request for Judicial
7	Bright, Armstrong executed a	Notice, Exhibit A,
8	declaration purporting to	Complaint, ¶¶ 68 and 69;
9	authenticate an affidavit describing	Request for Judicial
10	Armstrong's alleged experiences with	Notice, Exhibit B,
11	the Church.	Answer, $\P\P$ 68 and 69;
12		Exhibit 1A, Deposition of
13		Gerald Armstrong, Vol II,
14		219:17-226:25, and
15		Exhibit 8 thereto,
16		Declaration of Gerald
17		Armstrong, May 27, 1992.
18		
19	Dated: November 16, 1994 Res	pectfully submitted,
20	Laurie J. Bartilson BOWLES & MOXON	
21	WILSON, RYAN & CAMPILONGO	
22	A CHILIDAN	
23	D.v.	
24	By: / / Andrew H. Wilson	
25	Attorneys for Plaintiff CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY	
26		ERNATIONAL
27		

H:\ARMSTRON\NEW-SJ4.SEP